Near-field thermal radiation energy conversion

Miguel Rubi

WE-Heraeus-Seminar:

Heat Transfer and Heat Conduction on the Nanoscale



Planck's radiation law

N: photons in a box with frequency quantum states N_{ω} : photons with frequency ω g_{ω} : quantum states



Single configuration:

x: photon |...|: state

xxx | x | xx | xxxx | xxx | xx

Bosons: no restriction on the numeber of particles in each level

Sumber of microstates; combinatorial problem

$$\Omega_{BE} = \prod_{\omega} \frac{(N_{\omega} + g_{\omega} - 1)!}{N_{\omega}!(g_{\omega} - 1)!}$$

Planck radiation law from thermodynamics

i) Maximizing the number of microstates:

$$\ln \Omega_{BE} = \sum \ln (N_v + g_v)! - \sum \ln N_v! - \sum \ln g_v!$$

ii) Condition: energy is constant
$$\sum N_v hv = U = \text{const}$$

iii) Boltzmann entropy
$$M_v = \frac{8\pi V}{c^3} \frac{v^2}{e^{hv/kT} - 1}$$

Photon tunneling: near-field regime



Figure: Evanescent waves play no role in heat loss from a hot dielectric surface to vacuum, left hand figure, but evanescent waves can carry heat from a hot to a cold dielectric surface, right hand figure. [J. B. Pendry, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11 (1999) 6621-6633]

Heat flux much larger than blackbody limit! $\Phi_{\rm bb} = \sigma T^4$

Enhancement of the heat flux in the near-field





-How much work can we extract from the radiation? What is the efficiency of the process? How to compute the dissipation?

-What is the optimal structure for heat transfer?

Thermodynamics for the NF

Thermodynamics of thermal radiation

Energy flux radiated:

$$\dot{U}(T) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \,\hbar\omega n(\omega, T) \varphi(\omega)$$

$$n(\omega,T) = \left(e^{\hbar\omega/k_{\rm B}T} - 1\right)^{-1}$$

Spectral flux of modes

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{d\dot{S}}{d\dot{U}}.$$

$$\dot{S}(T) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \, k_{\rm B} m(\omega, T) \varphi(\omega)$$

$$\dot{S}(T) = \int_0^T dT' \frac{1}{T'} \frac{d\dot{U}(T')}{dT'}$$

Free energy:
$$G = U - TS$$

$$n(\omega, T) = [1 + n(\omega, T)]\ln[1 + n(\omega, T)] - n(\omega, T)\ln n(\omega, T),$$



1st Law:

 $\Delta \dot{U} + \dot{Q}_{\rm e} + \dot{W} = 0,$

$$\Delta \dot{S} + \Delta \dot{S}_{e} = \Delta \dot{S}_{irr} \ge 0,$$

 $\Delta \dot{U} = \dot{U}(T_{\rm e}) - \dot{U}(T_{\rm h}) \qquad \Delta \dot{S} = \dot{S}(T_{\rm e}) - \dot{S}(T_{\rm h})$

$$\Delta \dot{S}_{\rm e} = \dot{Q}_{\rm e}/T_{\rm e}$$

Efficiency



$$\eta \equiv \frac{\dot{W}}{\dot{U}(T_{\rm h})} = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{W}} - T_{\rm e}\Delta \dot{S}_{\rm irr}}{\dot{U}(T_{\rm h})} \qquad \qquad \overline{\eta} = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{W}}}{\dot{U}(T_{\rm h})} \ge \eta.$$

$$\Delta \dot{U} = \int_0^\infty d\omega \,\hbar \omega [n(\omega, T_2) - n(\omega, T_1)] \varphi(\omega)$$

Spectral flux of modes:

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\omega) &= \sum_{\alpha=\mathrm{p,s}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\omega/c} \frac{d\kappa \kappa}{4\pi^{2}} \frac{\left[1 - \left|R_{\alpha}(\kappa,\omega)\right|^{2}\right]^{2}}{\left|1 - e^{2i\gamma d}R_{\alpha}^{2}(\kappa,\omega)\right|^{2}} \right. \\ &+ \int_{\omega/c}^{\infty} \frac{d\kappa \kappa}{\pi^{2}} \frac{e^{-2|\gamma|d}\mathrm{Im}^{2}[R_{\alpha}(\kappa,\omega)]}{\left|1 - e^{-2|\gamma|d}R_{\alpha}^{2}(\kappa,\omega)\right|^{2}} \right\}. \end{split} \qquad \gamma = \sqrt{(\omega/c)^{2} - \kappa^{2}} \end{split}$$

Lorentz model:

When:

$$d \ll \lambda_T = c\hbar/k_{\rm B}T$$

 $\lambda_T = 7.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ for $T = 300 \,\text{K}$

Emission is dominated by SPPs

Ex.: SiC, hBN

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} \frac{\omega_{\rm L}^2 - \omega^2 - i\Gamma\omega}{\omega_{\rm T}^2 - \omega^2 - i\Gamma\omega}$$

Black body radiation

The reflection coefficient vanishes:

$$\varphi_{bb}(\omega) = \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi c}\right)^2 \qquad \qquad \dot{U}_{bb} = \sigma T^{4}$$
$$\dot{S}_{bb} = 4\sigma T^3/3$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{W}}_{bb} = \sigma (T_{h}^{4} - T_{e}^{4}) - \frac{4}{3}\sigma T_{e} (T_{h}^{3} - T_{e}^{3})$$

$$\overline{\eta}_{bb} = 1 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{T_e}{T_h} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{T_e}{T_h}\right)^4$$

Near-field

When the surfaces are close enough the spectral flux of modes is dominated by p-polarized evanescent modes

$$\dot{U}_{nf}(T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \, \hbar \omega n(\omega, T) \frac{\text{Im}[\text{Li}_{2}(R_{p}^{2}(\omega))]}{4\pi^{2}d^{2}f(\omega)}, \qquad R_{p}(\omega) = \frac{\varepsilon(\omega) - 1}{\varepsilon(\omega) + 1}$$

$$\simeq \hbar \omega_{0} n_{0}(T) \frac{\text{Re}[\text{Li}_{2}(R_{p}^{2}(\omega_{0}))]}{4\pi d^{2}f'(\omega_{0})}, \qquad f(\omega) = \frac{\text{Im}[R_{p}^{2}(\omega)]}{\text{Im}^{2}[R_{p}(\omega)]}$$
Radiation highly monochromatic
$$\omega_{0} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}\omega_{L}^{2} + \omega_{T}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{\infty} + 1}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\varphi_{nf}(\omega) = g_{d}(\omega)\delta(\omega - \omega_{0})$$

$$g_{d}(\omega) = \frac{\text{Re}[\text{Li}_{2}(R_{p}^{2}(\omega))]}{4\pi d^{2}f'(\omega)}$$

$$\dot{S}_{\rm nf}(T) = \int_0 d\omega \, k_{\rm B} m(\omega, T) \varphi_{\rm nf}(\omega) = k_{\rm B} m_0(T) g_d(\omega_0)$$

Work:

$$\dot{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathrm{nf}} = \hbar \omega_0 g_d(\omega_0) \left\{ \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T_{\mathrm{e}}}{\hbar \omega_0} [m_0(T_{\mathrm{e}}) - m_0(T_{\mathrm{h}})] - [n_0(T_{\mathrm{e}}) - n_0(T_{\mathrm{h}})] \right\}.$$

$$\dot{W}_{nf} = \zeta \left(T_h^2 - T_e^2 \right) - 2\zeta \left(T_h - T_e \right)$$

Efficiency:

$$\bar{\eta}_{\rm nf} = 1 - \frac{n_0(T_{\rm e})}{n_0(T_{\rm h})} + \frac{k_{\rm B}T_{\rm e}}{\hbar\omega_0} \frac{m_0(T_{\rm e}) - m_0(T_{\rm h})}{n_0(T_{\rm h})}$$

Carnot efficiency:

$$\lim_{\omega_0\to\infty}\overline{\eta}_{\rm nf}=1-T_{\rm e}/T_{\rm h}$$



$$\bar{\eta}_{bb} = 1 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{T_{e}}{T_{h}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{T_{e}}{T_{h}}\right)^{4}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{otrains}^{i} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{$$



Comparison with blackbody





$$\Upsilon(d,T) \equiv \dot{U}_{\rm nf}(d,T) / \dot{U}_{\rm bb}(T)$$

$$\Sigma(d,T) \equiv \dot{S}_{nf}(d,T)/\dot{S}_{bb}(T)$$

What is the optimal structure for heat transmission?

- two 5 μ m-thick SiC samples: SPP at $\omega_{spp} \simeq 1.79 \times 10^{14} \, rad/s$
- metal-like medium: surface mode (a plasmon) at $\omega_{\rm spp}$



Net energy flux on the cold body

$$\Phi_{iB} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \phi_{iB}(\omega, d, \delta) \qquad (i = 2, 3)$$

$$\begin{split} \phi_{3\mathrm{B}}(\omega,d,\delta) &= \hbar\omega \sum_{j} \int_{c\kappa > \omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\kappa}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left[n_{\mathrm{hi}}(\omega) \mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\mathrm{hi})}(\omega,\kappa,d,\delta) + n_{\mathrm{ic}}(\omega) \mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\mathrm{ic})}(\omega,\kappa,d,\delta) \right] \\ \phi_{2\mathrm{B}}(\omega,d) &= \hbar\omega \sum_{j} \int_{c\kappa > \omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\kappa}{(2\pi)^{2}} n_{\mathrm{hc}}(\omega) \mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\mathrm{hc})}(\omega,\kappa,d) \end{split}$$

with $n_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = n_{\alpha}(\omega) - n_{\beta}(\omega)$, where $n_{\alpha}(\omega) = \left(e^{\hbar\omega/k_{\rm B}T_{\alpha}} - 1\right)^{-1}$, $\alpha = h, i, c$

• $\mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\text{hi})}$, $\mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\text{ic})}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\text{hc})}$ are the transmission coefficients for polarization j that depend on optical properties of materials

Net entropy flux on the cold body

$$\Phi_{iB} = \sum_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{(iB)}(T_{\alpha}),$$
$$\Psi_{\alpha}^{(iB)}(T_{\alpha}) = \int_{0}^{T_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}T' \, \frac{1}{T'} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T'} \Phi_{\alpha}^{(iB)}(T').$$

Therefore,

$$\Psi_{i\mathrm{B}} = \sum_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}^{(i\mathrm{B})}(T_{\alpha}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \psi_{i\mathrm{B}}(\omega, d, \delta),$$

where the spectral entropy fluxes take the form

$$\psi_{3\mathrm{B}}(\omega, d, \delta) = k_{\mathrm{B}} \sum_{j} \int_{c\kappa > \omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\kappa}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left[m_{\mathrm{hi}}(\omega)\mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\mathrm{hi})}(\omega, \kappa, d, \delta) + m_{\mathrm{ic}}(\omega)\mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\mathrm{ic})}(\omega, \kappa, d, \delta) \right],$$
$$\psi_{2\mathrm{B}}(\omega, d) = k_{\mathrm{B}} \sum_{j} \int_{c\kappa > \omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\kappa}{(2\pi)^{2}} m_{\mathrm{hc}}(\omega)\mathcal{T}_{j}^{(\mathrm{hc})}(\omega, \kappa, d),$$

with $m_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = m_{\alpha}(\omega) - m_{\beta}(\omega)$ and

$$m_{\alpha}(\omega) = [1 + n_{\alpha}(\omega)] \ln [1 + n_{\alpha}(\omega)] - n_{\alpha}(\omega) \ln n_{\alpha}(\omega)$$



$$\begin{split} &d = 500 \, \mathrm{nm} \text{ and } \delta = 667 \, \mathrm{nm} \\ &f(\omega, \kappa) = 10^{22} \times \left(n_{\mathrm{hi}} \mathcal{T}_p^{\mathrm{(hi)}} + n_{\mathrm{ic}} \mathcal{T}_p^{\mathrm{(ic)}} \right) \\ &w_{3\mathrm{B}} = \phi_{3\mathrm{B}} - T_{\mathrm{c}} \psi_{3\mathrm{B}} \end{split}$$

▲□▶▲母▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の(



Maximum work flux (W/m^2)



Results

- Near-field thermodynamics, NFT
- The maximum work that can be obtained from the thermal radiation in the near-field regime is much larger than that corresponding to the blackbody limit.
- Thermal radiation energy conversion can be more efficient in the near-field regime.
- Optimal configuration: Three-body photon tunneling can produce more work than in two body systems
- New possibilities for the design of energy converters that can be used to harvest energy from sources of moderate temperature at the nanoscale

I. Latella et al., J. Appl. Phys., 115, 124307 (2014) I. Latella et al., Phys. Rev. Applied, 4, 011001 (2015)

Casimir forces from dissipation

Casimir-Lifshitz force between a sphere of radius R and a plate separated by a distance

 $d \ll R$

Proximity-force approximation (Derjaguin):

 $F_{\rm PFA} = 2\pi R \varepsilon^{p-p}$

Deviations from PFA arise from curvature:

$$F_{\rm PFA} = \alpha \frac{2\pi^3 R \hbar c}{720 d^3} \left[1 + \beta \frac{d}{R} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d^2}{R^2}\right) \right]$$

 α, β : Correction coefficients



$$\varepsilon^{p-p} = -\pi^2 \hbar c / (720d^3)$$

Kinetic model

$$\dot{Q}_1(\omega) = a_1(\omega, T_1, T_2) J_{2 \to 1}(\omega, T_2)$$
Rate of change of the energy of the rdiation field
$$J_{2 \to 1}(\omega, T_2) = e_2(\omega, T_2) \dot{u}(\omega, T_2)$$

i) Dynamics of the system: collective excitations or vibration modes

ii) Overall relaxation (Matthiessen rule)

$$\tau^{-1} = \tau_1^{-1} + \dots + \tau_n^{-1}$$

iii) Relaxation times

$$au_\ell^{-1} = au_{\ell-1}^{-1} + \xi_\ell au_{\ell-1}^{-1} \qquad \xi_\ell$$
 small random input

$$\tau_{\ell}^{-1} = \tau_0^{-1} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i)$$

For large I, $\sum \xi_i$ is asymptotically **normally distributed**

$$\tau^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma\tau_0} \exp\left[-\frac{\ln^2(\omega/\omega_0)}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

iv) Adiabatic approximation

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}(\omega,T_2) &= u(\omega,T_2)/\tau(\omega) \\ & \text{Energy of a harmonic} \\ u(\omega,T_2) &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_BT_2}\right) \end{split}$$

v) The relaxation process entails **dissipation**. Represents the asymptotic of the diffusion coefficient

vi) The relaxation time leads to a **new model for the dielectric permetivity** (generalized Drude model)

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_{\infty}}{1 + i\omega\tau(\omega)}$$

and to a new fluctuation-dissipation relation.

Total power:
$$\mathcal{P}_{i \to j} = \int_{\omega_m}^{\infty} \dot{Q}_j(\omega) g_j(\omega) d\omega$$

 $g_j(\omega) = V_j \omega^2 / \pi^2 c^3$

Density of modes of material j

Force: $F_{i \rightarrow j} = \mathcal{P}_{i \rightarrow j}/c$

Isothermal case, with $a_j(\omega, T) = e_i(\omega, T) = 1$

$$\begin{split} F_{i \to j} \approx & \frac{2^{5/2} \pi^{3/2}}{d^4} \frac{\hbar \varepsilon^5 V_j}{\sigma \tau_0'} \exp\left[-\frac{\ln^2(2\pi \varepsilon c/\omega_0 d)}{2\sigma^2}\right] \\ & \times \coth\left(\frac{h\varepsilon c}{2k_BTd}\right), \end{split}$$

L. Lapas, A. Perez, J.M. Rubi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 110601 (2016)

- i) Unlike the PFA formula, it does not diverge as d goes to zero
- ii) For large separation, the dominant term goes as



iii) At zero T, it behaves as



Casimir-Lifshitz theory

iv) The PFA is not consistent with Heisenberg's uncertainty principle

H. Gies, K. Klingmüller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96,220401 (2006)

Heisenberg's principle

$$\Delta x \Delta p \ge \hbar / 2$$

$$\Delta x < d$$

$$\Delta p \ge \hbar / 2d$$

$$\Delta E \ge \frac{\hbar vc}{2d} \rightarrow \Delta \omega \ge \frac{2\pi vc}{d} \equiv \omega_m$$



Experiments:

J. N. Munday, F. Capasso, and V. A. Parsegian, Nature (London) 457, 170 (2009).
G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1827 (2009).
A. W. Rodriguez, F. Capasso, and S. G. Johnson, Nat. Photonics 5, 211 (2011).
D. E. Krause, R. S. Decca, D. López, and E. Fischbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 050403 (2007).



-The corrected PFA may fit experiments of Murray et al. for the attractive and repulsive forces with fitting parameters different from those predicted

$$\alpha = 2.82x10^{-2}(4.44x10^{-2})$$
$$\beta = -2.3x10^{2}(6.3x10^{2})$$

repulsive (attractive)

 $\varepsilon = 2.57(8.21)$ $\sigma = 3.22(2.37)$

-The corrected PFA is not able to adjust Krause et al. experiments

 $\varepsilon = 10.23$ $\sigma = 2.46$ -UB

Ivan Latella Agustín Pérez-Madrid

-UNILA, Brasil L. Lapas

-Institut für Physik, Oldenburg Svend-Age Biehs

-Institute d'Optique, Paris Sud Philippe Ben-Abdallah

-CETHIL, INSA, Lyon P.O. Chapuis; R. Vaillon